

## State of South Carolina

## Office of the Governor

MARK SANFORD

Post Office Box 12267 COLUMBIA 29211

June 3, 2003

The Honorable André Bauer President of the Senate State House, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, East Wing Columbia, South Carolina 29202

Mr. President and Members of the Senate:

I am hereby returning without my approval S. 657, R-99, a Joint Resolution:

TO PROVIDE THAT THE SCHOOL DAYS MISSED ON APRIL 22 AND 23, 2003, BY THE STUDENTS OF HOLLY SPRINGS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL IN PICKENS COUNTY, WHEN THE SCHOOL WAS CLOSED DUE TO EMERGENCY FLOOR REPAIR NECESSITATED BY SEVERE WATER DAMAGE, ARE EXEMPTED FROM THE MAKE-UP REQUIREMENT OF THE DEFINED MINIMUM PLAN THAT FULL SCHOOL DAYS MISSED DUE TO EXTREME WEATHER OR OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES BE MADE UP.

This veto is based upon my belief that S. 657, R-99 is unconstitutional.

Though well-intentioned as it might be, S. 657, R-99 is an example of specific legislation that has been enacted to address circumstances that could have been addressed by general legislation. The state constitution clearly prohibits the enactment of special legislation where a "general law can be made applicable." S.C. Const. Art. III, Section 34 (IX). The General Assembly could establish a general statute that sets forth the general types of events or occasions when missed school days may be exempted from the make-up requirement, and authorize school districts throughout the state to exercise discretion in granting such exemptions. The recurring nature of legislation like S. 657, R-99 demonstrates the policy basis for Article III, Section 34's directive that special legislation be avoided in all cases where general legislation can be enacted.

The Honorable André Bauer June 3, 2003 Page 2

In summary, I believe the specific nature of S. 657, R-99 renders this Act unconstitutional. For this reason, I am returning S. 657, R-99 to you without my signature.

Sincerely,

Mark Sanford

Governor